

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office address COMMENT OFFICE PROTECTS AND TRADEMARKS AND OFFICE OF 2 241

87. 4				
APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10 020.573	10 31 2001	Lakashi Kobayashi	FUJI:200	5661
7590 08 13 2002 ROSSI & ASSOCIATES			EXAMINER	
P.O. Box 826			GREENE, PERSHELLE L	
Ashburn, VA 20146-0826			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2826	\bigcirc
			DATE MAILED: 08:13.2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_		410
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
•		10/020,573	KOBAYASHI ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Pershelle Greene	2826
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address
	D - white		
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re earned	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 iX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ieriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repleteriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a) In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS	e timely filed days will be considered timely from the mailing date of this communication DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133)
tatus	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31	October 2001	
1)[The state of the Tile of the	his action is non-final.	
2a)	This dottor to the territor	canco except for formal matters	s, prosecution as to the merits is
3) <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.
4)[·]	Claim(s) 1-118 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.	
٠,۵	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.	
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)[]	Claim(s) 1-118 are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	
لحارت Applicat	ion Papers		
مرت	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) dbjected to by the	Examiner.
	that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	Je. 366 37 0111 1:00(2)
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)[_] approved b)[_] dis:	approved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office action.	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.	
D :: 4	dor 25 U.S.C. 88 119 and 120		
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
, <u>_</u>) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
	1 Cortified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.	
	o Contified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in Ap	plication No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	priority documents have been r	eceived in this National Stage
,	application from the international See the attached detailed Office action for a Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. 8	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	provisional application has be	en received.
15)[a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	nestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachm		4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
1	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	5) Notice of I	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) [] In	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (1707116, 1860		Part of Paner No. 8

Application/Control Number: 10/020.573

Art Unit: 2826

Serial Number: 10/020573 Attorney's Docket #: FUJI:200

Filing Date: 10/31/2001

Applicant: Kobayashi et al. Examiner: Pershelle Greene

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

I: Embodiment 1, page 24 line 19 - page 32 line 17;

II: Embodiment 2, page 32 line 18 – page 33 line 20;

III: Embodiment 3, page 33 line 22 – page 34 line 2;

IV: Embodiment 4, page 34 line 3 - page 35 line 22;

V: Embodiment 5, page 35 line 24 - page 36 line 26;

VI: Embodiment 6, page 36 line 28 - page 37 line 21;

VII: Embodiment 7. page 37 line 22 – page 38 line 28;

VIII: Embodiment 8, page 39 line 1 – page 39 line 26:

IX: Embodiment 9, page 39 line 28 - page 40 line 28:

X: Embodiment 10, page 41 line 1 – page 41 line 17;

XI: Embodiment 11, page 41 line 19 – page 43 line 2;

XII: Embodiment 12. page 43 line 3 – page 43 line 10;

Application/Control Number: 10/020,573

Art Unit: 2826

XIII: Embodiment 13, page 43 line 12 - page 43 line 21; and

XVI: Embodiment 14, page 43 line 22 – page 45 line 2.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/020,573

Art Unit: 2826

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pershelle Greene whose telephone number is 703-305-3870. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on 703-308-6601. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

PLG August 9, 2002

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED TECHNOLOGY CENTROLOGY